Chapter 19 Risk of Major Accidents and / or Disasters



Table of Contents

19

	MAJOR ACCIDENTS AND NATURAL DISASTERS	1
19.1	Introduction	1
19.2	Risk of Major Accidents and/or Disasters	1
	19.2.1 Definitions	
19.3	Methodology	3
	19.3.1 Scope and Context	
	19.3.2Legislation, Guidelines and Reference Material	
	19.3.3Risk Assessment Methodology	4
19.4	Potential Impacts	7
	19.4.1Do Nothing Scenario	7
	19.4.2Risk Evaluation	7
	19.4.3Seveso Sites	2
19.5	Mitigation and Monitoring Measures 1	2
	19.5.1 Inherent Design	2
	19.5.2 Plans and Procedures 1	
19.6	Residual Impacts 1	6
19.7	References 1	7

List of Tables

Table 19-1 Upper Tier Seveso Sites	2
Table 19-2 Classification of Likelihood	
Table 19-3 Classification of Consequences	6
Table 19-4 Levels of Significance	7
Table 19-5 Rating of Major Accidents and Disasters in the Absence of Mitigation	
Table 19-6 Evaluation of Levels of Significance in the Absence of Mitigation	12
Table 19-7 Major Accidents and / or Disasters – Assessment of Mitigation Measures	15
Table 19-8 Evaluation of Levels of Significance - Post Mitigation	16



19 MAJOR ACCIDENTS AND/OR DISASTERS

19.1 Introduction

This Chapter of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) assesses the potential significant impacts of the BusConnects Galway: Dublin Road scheme (hereafter referred to as the Proposed Development) on the environment, deriving from its vulnerability to risks of major accidents and / or disasters during the Construction Phase and Operational Phase.

The aim of the Proposed Development when in operation is to provide enhanced walking, cycling and bus infrastructure on this key access corridor in the Galway region, which will enable and deliver efficient, safe, and integrated sustainable transport movement along the corridor. The objectives of the Proposed Development are described in Chapter 1 (Introduction) of this EIAR. The Proposed Development which is described in Chapter 4 (Proposed Development Description) of this EIAR has been designed to meet these objectives.

The design of the Proposed Development has evolved through comprehensive design iteration, with particular emphasis on minimising the potential for environmental impacts where practicable whilst ensuring the objectives of the Proposed Development are attained. In addition, feedback received from the comprehensive consultation programme undertaken throughout the option selection and design development process have been incorporated, where appropriate.

19.2 Risk of Major Accidents and/or Disasters

Article 3 of Directive 2014/52/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 amending Directive 2011/92/EU on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment (hereafter referred to as the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Directive) requires for the assessment of expected effects of major accidents and / or disasters within environmental impact assessment (EIA). Article 3(2) of the Directive states that the:

'effects referred to in paragraph 1 on the factors set out therein shall include the expected effects deriving from the vulnerability of the project to risks of major accidents and / or disasters that are relevant to the project concerned.'

In addition, Annex IV of the EIA Directive states that the EIAR shall contain:

'A description of the expected significant adverse effects of the project on the environment deriving from the vulnerability of the project to risks of major accidents and / or disasters which are relevant to the project concerned. Relevant information available and obtained through risk assessments pursuant to Union legislation such as Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council (1) or Council Directive 2009/71/Euratom (2) or relevant assessments carried out pursuant to national legislation may be used for this purpose provided that the requirements of this Directive are met. Where appropriate, this description should include measures envisaged to prevent or mitigate the significant adverse effects of such events on the environment and details of the preparedness for and proposed response to such emergencies.'

The Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports (hereafter referred to as the EPA Guidelines) (EPA 2022) elaborate on risk assessment further under Section 3.7.3:

'To address unforeseen or unplanned effects the Directive further requires that the EIAR takes account of the vulnerability of the project to risk of major accidents and / or disasters relevant to the project concerned and that the EIAR therefore explicitly addresses this issue. The extent to which the effects of major accidents and / or disasters are examined in the EIAR should be guided by an assessment of the likelihood of their occurrence (risk).'





Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on the control of majoraccident hazards involving dangerous substances, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directive 96/82/EU (hereafter referred to as the Seveso III Directive) is also relevant to this assessment. S.I. No. 209/2015 – Chemical Act (Control of Major Accident Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances) Regulations 2015 (hereafter referred to as the COMAH Regulations) transposed the Seveso III Directive into Irish Iaw. The Seveso III Directive and the COMAH Regulations outline the legal obligations for operators of industrial establishments where dangerous substances are stored. These establishments are referred to as Seveso sites and are classified as Upper Tier or Lower Tier establishments. As per Regulation 25 of the COMAH Regulations, Upper Tier establishments are required to submit information regarding their operations to the Health and Safety Authority (HSA). Each Seveso site has a consultation zone which is the 'area liable to be affected by a major accident' at the site (Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government (DECLG 2015)). Therefore, if a development falls within the specified consultation zone of a Seveso site, the HSA must be consulted.

There are three Upper Tier Seveso sites located within proximity to the Proposed Development as shown in the Table 19-1:

Name of sites	Location	App. Distance from the Proposed Development	Scoped In/Out
Circle K	Terminal Galway Harbour Enterprise Park New Docks, Galway	1.6km west	Out. The Proposed Development is outside the consultation zone
Colas Bitumen Emulsion (West) Ltd	Oranmore, Co. Galway	2.6km east	Out. The Proposed Development is outside the consultation zone
Chemoran	Deerpark Industrial Estate Oranmore, Co. Galway	2.6km east	Out. The Proposed Development is outside the consultation zone

Table 19-1 Upper Tier Seveso Sites

The Proposed Development does not fall within the consultation zones of these identified Seveso Sites and is not predicted to present any risk to the operation of these sites.

This Chapter of the EIAR identifies how risks of accidents and / or disasters relevant to the Proposed Development have been identified and how those risks have been managed. This Chapter considers:

- Major accidents and / or disasters that the Proposed Development may be vulnerable to;
- Whether a major accident and / or disaster occurring could result in likely significant adverse environmental impacts, and if so, what these would be; and
- Existing and proposed mitigation measures to prevent or mitigate the likely significant adverse impacts of such events on the environment.

19.2.1 Definitions

At the time of undertaking this assessment, no clear definition of the term 'major accident and / or disaster' has been outlined in the context of the EIA Directive. For the purpose of this assessment, the following definitions from the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) Major Accidents and Disasters in EIA: A Primer (hereafter referred to as the IEMA Primer) (IEMA 2020) have been adopted:

- Accident something that happens by chance or without expectation;
- Disaster a natural hazard (e.g. earthquake) or a man-made / external hazard (e.g. act of terrorism) with the potential to cause an event or situation that meets the definition of a major accident;





- Major Accident events that threaten immediate or delayed serious environmental effects to human health, welfare and / or the environment and require the use of resources beyond those of the client or its appointed representatives to manage. Whilst malicious intent is not accidental, the outcome (e.g. train derailment) may be the same and therefore many mitigation measures will apply to both deliberate and accidental events;
- Risk the likelihood of an impact occurring, combined with the effect or consequence(s) of the impact on a receptor if it does occur;
- Risk event an identified, unplanned event, which is considered relevant to the Proposed Development and has the potential to result in a major accident and / or disaster, subject to assessment of its potential to result in a significant adverse effect on an environmental receptor;
- Vulnerability describes the potential for harm as a result of an event, for example due to sensitivity or value of receptors. In the context of the EIA Directive, the term refers to 'exposure and resilience' of the Proposed Development to the risk of a major accident and / or disaster. Vulnerability is influenced by sensitivity, adaptive capacity, and magnitude of impact; and
- Significant environmental effect (in relation to a major accident and / or disaster assessment) includes the loss of life, permanent injury and temporary or permanent destruction of an environmental receptor which cannot be restored through minor clean-up and restoration.

In addition, a 'Significant' impact resulting from major accidents and / or disasters is identified if it meets the criteria for 'Significant', 'Very Significant' or 'Profound' under the EPA Guidelines (EPA 2022). The assessment of major accidents and disasters in this Chapter of the EIAR considers the occurrence of extreme and highly unlikely incidences. As such, it considers accident scenarios that would not reasonably be covered by the other topic assessments.

19.3 Methodology

19.3.1 Scope and Context

The identification, control and management of risk is an integral part of the design and assessment process throughout all stages of a project lifecycle. For example, a Flood Risk Assessment was carried out to assess the vulnerability of the Proposed Development to flooding in order to mitigate, where required. The elements of the Proposed Development that incorporate measures that are designed to eliminate, reduce, isolate, control or exploit the occurrence of major accidents have been described throughout this EIAR, where required. Measures to control risks associated with Construction Phase activities are incorporated into the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) in Appendix A5.1 in Volume 4 of this EIAR.

The methodology for this risk assessment is as follows:

- Identify major accidents and / or disasters (i.e. unplanned incidents) that the Proposed Development may be vulnerable to; and
- Assess the consequent impacts and significance of such incidents in relation to the environmental, social, and economic receptors that may be affected.

Such risks may be present at the Construction Phase and / or Operational Phase of the Proposed Development.

19.3.2 Legislation, Guidelines and Reference Material

The development of the risk assessment methodology has been informed by the following guidelines:

- S.I. No. 291/2013 Safety, Health, and Welfare at Work (Construction) Regulations 2013 (as amended) (hereafter referred to as the Safety, Health, and Welfare (Construction) Regulations);
- Safety, Health, and Welfare at Work Act 2005 as amended (hereafter referred to as the Safety, Health, and Welfare at Work Act);
- S.I. No. 138/2012 Building Regulations (Part A Amendment) Regulations 2012 (as amended);





- S.I. No. 299/2007 Safety, Health, and Welfare at Work (General Application) Regulations 2007 as amended (hereafter referred to as the Safety, Health, and Welfare at Work (General Application) Regulations);
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports (hereafter referred to as the" EPA Guidelines (EPA 2022);
- Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects Guidance on the Preparation of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (European Commission 2017);
- Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment Primer (IEMA 2020);
- A National Risk Assessment for Ireland 2023 Overview of Strategic Risks (Department of the Taoiseach);
- Strategic Emergency Management National Structures and Framework (Department of Defence 2017);
- Guidance on Assessing and Costing Environmental Liabilities (EPA 2014);
- A Framework for Major Emergency Management. Guidance Document 10 (DECLG 2015);
- The Department of Environment, Heritage, and Local Government (DEHLG) A Framework for Major Emergency Management. A Guide to Risk Assessment in Major Emergency Management (Department of Environment, Heritage, and Local Government (DEHLG 2010);
- The Galway City Council Major Emergency Plan 2015; and
- Galway City Development Plan 2023 2029.

The following Proposed Development -specific documents have also informed the assessment:

- CEMP including topics addressed as follows:
 - Construction and Demolition Resource and Waste Management;
 - Construction Traffic Management;
 - Non-Native Invasive Species Management;
 - Surface Water Management; and
 - Environmental Incident Response.
- Flood Risk Assessment.

19.3.3 Risk Assessment Methodology

This Section sets out how each assessment topic has been undertaken and highlights where input from other environmental disciplines has been included within the risk of major accidents and disasters assessment. The risk assessment is set out in three stages:

- Identification and Screening;
- Risk Classification; and
- Risk Evaluation.

19.3.3.1 Identification and Screening

The first stage of the assessment is to identify potential unplanned risks that the Proposed Development may be vulnerable to. An initial list of relevant hazards which may make the Proposed Development vulnerable to major accidents and / or disasters were sourced through consultation with relevant environmental specialists and the BusConnects infrastructure team, and by consulting the guidelines and reference documentation, and grouped into 'risk events'.

The list of potential risk events that could lead to major accidents and / or disasters was subjected to an initial screening assessment to identify those that meet the scoping criteria. Where appropriate, risk events were screened out of the assessment according to the following scoping criteria:

- Major accidents and / or disasters associated with Construction Phase and Operational Phase activities that fall within the scope of health and safety legislation and associated obligations;
- Major accidents and / or disasters as a result of Seveso sites, for which the Proposed Development does not fall within the specified consultation distance for that Seveso site and for which the Proposed Development has no significant interaction with access to the designated hospital(s) and fire stations identified on a Seveso site's emergency plans;





- Risk events where no 'source-pathway-receptor' linkage exists to result in a major accident and / or disaster (i.e. an oil spill occurring at an oil depot that is not located near to a watercourse and for which there is no pathway from source to receptor);
- Major accidents and / or disasters where risk events are not applicable to that particular geographic location (e.g. volcanic activity, earthquakes, and risk of nuclear accidents in Ireland);
- Risk events in relation to users of the Proposed Development infrastructure (bus users, cyclists, pedestrians) during the Operational Phase, as the scope of this assessment for the Operational Phase relates to the provision of infrastructure only and not to the use of that infrastructure;
- Risk events that possess low likelihood / low consequence, as they do not meet the criteria to be brought forward for further consideration (i.e. they do not meet the definition of a major accident and / or disaster), for example the risk of traffic accidents on the wider road network causing delays to Construction or Operational Phase vehicles;
- Risk events that possess high likelihood / high consequence, as these would be considered high risk and unacceptable for the development of the Proposed Development; and
- Risk events in relation to existing emergency access arrangements and response plans for facilities along the route of the Proposed Development. Emergency accesses along the route of the Proposed Development will be retained insofar as is possible throughout the Construction Phase. Where construction works for the Proposed Development will interface with emergency access arrangements, the appointed contractor will consult with the affected landowners / site operators and the emergency services to agree, where required, alternative emergency access arrangements and changes to response plans for the duration of the works.

19.3.3.2 Risk Classification

Following the initial identification and screening process, the remaining major accidents and / or disasters risk events were evaluated with regard to the likelihood of occurrence and the potential impact. The rating criteria adopted for the assessment follows that used in A Guide to Risk Assessment in Major Emergency Management (DEHLG 2010). The EPA Guidelines (EPA 2022) state that the risk assessment must be based on a 'worst-case' approach. Therefore, the consequent rating assumes that all proposed mitigation measures and safety procedures have failed to prevent the occurrence of a major accident and / or disaster.

The classification and rating of likelihood and consequence, as taken from A Guide to Risk Assessment in Major Emergency Management are provided in Table 19-2 and Table 19-3.

Rating	Classification	Impacts Description
1	Extremely Unlikely	May occur only in exceptional circumstances; once every 500 or more years
2	Very Unlikely	Is not expected to occur; no recorded incidents or anecdotal evidence; and/or very few incidents in associated organisations, facilities or communities; and/or little opportunity, reason or means to occur. May occur once every 100 years to 500 years.
3	Unlikely	May occur at some time; and / or few, infrequent, random recorded incidents or little anecdotal evidence; some incidents in associated or comparable organisations worldwide; some opportunity, reason or means to occur. May occur once every 10 to 100 years.
4	Likely	Likely to or may occur; regular recorded incidents and strong anecdotal evidence. Will probably occur once every one year to 10 years
5	Very Likely	Very likely to occur; high level of recorded incidents and / or strong anecdotal evidence. Will probably occur more than once a year

Table 19-2 Classification of Likelihood





Rating	Classification	Impact	Description
1	Minor	Life, Health, Welfare, Environment, Infrastructure, Social	Small number of people affected; no fatalities and small number of minor injuries with first aid treatment. No contamination, localised effects. <0.5 million euro. Minor localised disruption to community services or infrastructure (<6 hours).
2	Limited	Life, Health, Welfare, Environment, Infrastructure, Social	 Single fatality; limited number of people affected; a few serious injuries with hospitalisation and medical treatment required. Localised displacement of a small number of people for 6 to 24 hours. Personal support satisfied through local arrangements. Simple contamination, localised effects of short duration. 0.5 million to 3 million euro. Normal community functioning with some inconvenience.
3	Serious	Life, Health, Welfare, Environment, Infrastructure, Social	Significant number of people in affected area impacted with multiple fatalities (<5), multiple serious or extensive injuries (20), significant hospitalisation. Large number of people displaced for 6-24 hours or possibly beyond; up to 500 evacuated. External resources required for personal support. Simple contamination, widespread effects or extended duration. 3 million euro to 10million euro. Community only partially functioning, some services available.
4	Very Serious	Life, Health, Welfare, Environment, Infrastructure, Social	 5 to 50 fatalities, up to 100 serious injuries, up to 2,000 evacuated. Heavy contamination, localised effects or extended duration. 10 million euro to 25 million euro. Community functioning poorly, minimal services available.
5	Catastrophic	Life, Health, Welfare, Environment, Infrastructure, Social	Large numbers of people impacted with a significant number of fatalities (>50), injuries in the hundreds, more than 2000 evacuated. Very heavy contamination, widespread effects of extended duration. >25 million euro. Serious damage to infrastructure causing significant disruption to, or loss of, key services for prolonged period. Community unable to function without significant support.

Table 19-3 Classification of Consequences





19.3.3.3 Risk Evaluation

In accordance with (DEHLG 2010), A Framework for Major Emergency Management: A Guide to Risk Assessment in Major Emergency Management, the evaluated major accidents and / or disasters risk events were compared to a risk matrix to determine the level of significance of each risk event. These have been grouped according to three categories:

- High Risk events that have an evaluation score of 15 to 25, as indicated by the Red Zones in Table 19-4;
- Medium Risk events that have an evaluation score of 8 to 12, as indicated by the Amber Zone in Table 19-4; and
- Low Risk events that have an evaluation score of 1 to 6, as indicated by the Green Zone in Table 19-4.

Significant impacts resulting from major accidents and / or disasters are adverse impacts that are described as 'Significant', 'Very Significant' or 'Profound' under the EPA Guidelines (EPA 2022). Consequently, major accidents and / or disasters risk events that fall within the Amber or Red Zones ('Medium' or 'High' risk events) are considered to present risk of significant impacts and are brought forward for further consideration and assessment for mitigation.

		1 - Minor	2 - Limited	3 - Serious	4 – Very Serious	5 - Catastrophic
	1 - Extremely Unlikely	4				-
Likelihood	2 - Very Unlikely					
poo	3 - Unlikely					
	4 - Likely					
	5 - Very Likely					

Table 19-4 Levels of Significance

19.4 Potential Impacts

19.4.1 Do Nothing Scenario

With respect to risk of major accidents and / or disasters, the 'Do Nothing' scenario means that there are no changes to existing infrastructure or utilities as a result of the Proposed Development. Therefore, there would be a Neutral impact on risk of major accidents and / or disasters under the 'Do Nothing' scenario.

19.4.2 Risk Evaluation

As mentioned in Section 19.3, the predicted impacts in this Section assume a worst-case scenario, which does not consider the implementation of mitigation measures or emergency plans which would be put in place to reduce the likelihood and potential impact of any major accidents and / or disasters.

A Risk Register has been developed which contains all the plausible scenarios identified during the Construction Phase and Operational Phase of the Proposed Development and has been evaluated using the criteria in Section 19.3. This is provided in Table 19-5.





Table 19-5 Rating of Major Accidents and Disasters in the Absence of Mitigation

Risk ID	Event	Proposed Development Element	Likelihood	Rating	Consequence	Rating	Resulting Risk Category
Construct	ion Phase	1	1	1		1	I
A	Utilities – Risk of gas explosion due to the strike of a gas mains during excavation works	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Serious Potential fatalities and injuries. Hazards associated with the explosion to neighbouring residents, businesses and activities. Potential to discharge deleterious material to adjacent watercourses	3	Medium
В	Utilities – Risk of release of trapped gas under pavements that accumulates due to local gas leaks	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Minor Potential minor risk of poisoning. Simple contamination, localised effects of short duration	1	Low
С	Utilities – Risk of exposure to and release of untreated wastewater due to the strike of mains sewers and combined sewers during excavation	Throughout	Very unlikely (Sewer depth greater than excavation depths required for the Proposed Development)	2	Limited Potential injury Hazards associated with exposure to untreated wastewater (diseases etc.) Potential untreated wastewater to discharge to adjacent watercourses	2	Low
D	Utilities – Risk of striking water mains supply	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Minor Potential minor injury for nearby personnel and potential displacement of local residences and business in the event of flooding. Clean mains water supply so	1	Low
E	Utilities – Risk of striking and damaging low voltage overhead lines (such as telecoms, fibre optics etc.) that cross the Proposed Development	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Limited Localised disruption / inconvenience to community	2	Low
F	Utilities – Risk of striking and damaging high voltage underground cables during excavation	Throughout	Very Unlikely (Easiest cables to detect remotely and have	2	Serious Potential fatalities and injuries Potential to lead to fire and associated effects	3	Low





Risk ID	Event	Proposed Development Element	Likelihood	Rating	Consequence	Rating	Resulting Risk Category
			warning marker tapes above them)		Potential to disrupt electricity / telecoms supply		
G	Utilities – Risk of striking and damaging low voltage underground cables (telecoms, fibre optic etc.) during excavation	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Limited Localised disruption / inconvenience to community	2	Low
Н	Tree Stability - Risk of trees with unstable roots falling during surface and excavation works / potential for contact with overhead lines, residents, properties, pedestrians and road users	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Limited Potential fatality and injuries Localised effects and short duration. Potential for some minor damage to local infrastructure	2	Low
1	Deep excavation during roadworks adjacent to live traffic – risk of falling from a height	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Limited Potential fatality and injuries	2	Low
J	Ground Collapse - Risk of excavation works leading to subsidence of land / encountering unstable ground during construction	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Limited Potential for limited fatalities and injuries Disruption to road network infrastructure	2	Low
к	Transport Accident - Major road traffic accidents resulting from Construction Phase traffic and works taking place adjacent to live traffic	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Limited Potential fatality and injuries Disruption to local road network infrastructure Spillage of contaminants such as fuels	2	Low
L	Contamination Event - Risk of encountering unknown contaminated ground / hazardous pipe materials (i.e. asbestos pipes) and potential damage to brittle pipes during construction works	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Limited Potential injury from exposure to hazardous substances Potential for a limited number of people to be affected and for short duration localised effects	2	Low
М	Contamination Event – Pollution event leading to environmental damage to watercourses or groundwater, particularly	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Serious Potential to cause environmental damage to the aquatic environment	3	Medium





BusConnects Galway: Dublin Road EIAR – Chapter 19 Major Accidents and Natural Disasters

Risk ID	Event	Proposed Development Element	Likelihood	Rating	Consequence	Rating	Resulting Risk Category
	associated with the potential release of silt to the aquatic environment				and associated species and to ecologically designated areas		
N	Biosecurity - Risk of spread of invasive species during construction works, particularly during site clearance works	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Serious Contamination with extended duration and potential to lead to more widespread effects	3	Medium
0	Extreme Weather Event – Risk of extreme weather events such as prolonged flooding resulting in sediment load runoff during construction, storm damage, snowstorm, wildfire.	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Limited Localised displacement of a small number of people and short duration and localised effects	2	Low
Ρ	Industrial Incident – Explosion / fire occurring at adjacent facility containing flammable / hazardous substances (i.e. petrol station)	Throughout	Very Unlikely	2	Limited Potential for injury working near live traffic taking evasive action to avoid fire services Localised disruption to road network	2	Low
Q	Industrial Incident - Incident within nearby Seveso sites as cited in Section 19.2 for which emergency services need to access	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Limited Potential for fatalities at site if emergency access response time was delayed Potential for injury working near live traffic taking evasive action to avoid fire services Localised disruption to road network	2	Low
Operationa	l Phase	1				1	
R	Extreme Weather Event – Risk of extreme weather events such as prolonged flooding resulting in sediment load runoff, storm damage, snowstorm, wildfire.	Throughout	Unlikely	3	Limited Potential for localised damage to infrastructure Localised disruption to road network infrastructure	2	Low
S	Industrial Incident – Explosion / fire occurring at adjacent facility containing	Throughout	Very Unlikely	2	Limited Potential for localised damage to infrastructure	2	Low





BusConnects Galway: Dublin Road EIAR – Chapter 19 Major Accidents and Natural Disasters

Risk ID	Event	Proposed Development Element	Likelihood	Rating	Consequence	Rating	Resulting Risk Category
	flammable / hazardous substances (i.e. petrol station)						
Т	Major Road Traffic Accident	Throughout	Unlikely	2	Limited Potential for fatalities Localised disruption to road network Spillage of contaminants such as fuels	2	Low





The results from the evaluation have been applied to Table 19-6.

	5 - Very Likely									
Likelihood	4 - Likely									
	3 - Unlikely	[B] [D]	[E] [G] [H] [I] [J] [K] [L] [O] [Q] [R] [T]	[A] [M] [N]						
kelih	2 - Very Unlikely		[C] [P] [S]	[F]						
Ľ	1 - Extremely Unlikely									
		1 - Minor	2 - Limited	3 - Serious	4 – Very Serious	5 - Catastrophic				
	Consequence of Impact									

Table 19-6 Evaluation of Levels of Significance in the Absence of Mitigation

From examining the plausible risks presented in Table 19-6, Risk IDs B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, O, P, Q, R, S and T are considered as being below the threshold of significance set for the purposes of this assessment (Green Zone or 'Low' risk event).

No risks have been assessed to fall within the Red Zone ('High' risk scenario) and Risk IDs A, M and N fall within the Amber Zone ('Medium' risk event) and are therefore brought forward for further consideration and assessment of mitigation measures. These three Risk IDs fall within the Construction Phase. No Operational Phase risks fell within the Amber Zone and are therefore not considered further.

The scenario with the highest risk score relates to Risk ID A, M and N associated with the Proposed Development.

19.4.3 Seveso Sites

A review of Upper Tier and Lower Tier Seveso sites and their respective distances from the Proposed Development was undertaken. This is presented in Figure 20.1 Seveso Sites Location in Volume 3 of this EIAR. The Proposed Development does not fall within the consultation zone for any of the identified Seveso sites, and therefore the HSA will not be consulted by the Planning Authority as per the COMAH Regulations. The HSA provides advice, where appropriate, in respect of planning applications within the consultation distance of a Seveso site and the Planning Authority will be guided by advice provided by the HSA in addition to normal planning criteria.

This Chapter has considered the risk associated with the Construction and Operational Phases of the Proposed Development, including in relation to Seveso sites. Given the limited scale and nature of the Proposed Development works at the closest location to the Seveso site (which is at a distance of approximately 1.3km), the Proposed Development is not predicted to present any risk to the operation of the Seveso site.

19.5 Mitigation and Monitoring Measures

19.5.1 Inherent Design

As mentioned previously, the design of the Proposed Development has evolved through comprehensive design iteration, with particular emphasis on avoiding or reducing the potential for environmental impacts, where practicable, whilst ensuring the objectives of the Proposed Development are attained. The design of the Proposed Development has been developed in compliance with the relevant design standards which



include provisions to reduce the likelihood of risk events occurring (e.g. structures have been designed to avoid the risk of collapse, drainage systems have been designed to cater for increased rainfall events, etc.).

Regulation 15 of the (S.I. No. 291/2013 - Safety, Health and Welfare at Work (Construction) Regulations 2013) places a duty on designers carrying out work related to the design of a project to take account of the 'General Principles of Prevention' as listed in Schedule 3 of the Safety, Health and Welfare at Work Act. In addition to the duties imposed by Regulation 15 of the S.I. No. 291/2013 - Safety, Health and Welfare at Work (Construction) Regulations 2013, designers must comply with Section 17(2) of the Safety, Health and Welfare at Work (Construction) Regulations 2013, designers must comply with Section 17(2) of the Safety, Health and Welfare at Work Act which requires persons who design a project for construction work to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, that the project is designed and is capable of being constructed to be safe and without risk to health, can be maintained safely and without risk to health during use, and complies in all respects, as appropriate, with other relevant legislation. This includes S.I. No. 138/2012 - Building Regulations (Part A Amendment) Regulations 2012 (as amended) and, if the works being designed are intended for use as a workplace, the relevant parts of the Safety, Health and Welfare at Work (General Application) Regulations. In accordance with these requirements, the BusConnects Infrastructure team established a consistent and appropriate means of assessing the risks that may arise from design decisions and of applying the General Principles of Prevention, mitigation measures that are to be embedded into the design of the Proposed Development.

19.5.2 Plans and Procedures

The plans outlined in this Section have been developed to effectively manage and minimise risk by ensuring that every reasonable effort will be made to ensure that environmental impacts during construction will be avoided or reduced, where possible. Specific mitigation measures are also included in the relevant chapters of this EIAR.

19.5.2.1 Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)

A CEMP has been prepared to demonstrate how the proposed construction works can be undertaken in a logical, sensible and safe sequence with the incorporation of specific environmental control measures relevant to construction works of this nature. The CEMP will be developed by the appointed Contractor to set how environmental protection will be achieved during the Construction Phase of the Proposed Development.

The CEMP has regard to the guidance contained in the former National Roads Authority (NRA) (now Transport Infrastructure Ireland) Guidelines for the Creation, Implementation and Maintenance of an Environmental Operating Plan (NRA 2007), and the handbook published by Construction Industry Research and Information Association (CIRIA) in the UK, Environmental Good Practice on Site Guide, 5th Edition (CIRIA 2023).

The CEMP summarises the overall environmental management strategy that could be adopted and implemented during the Construction Phase of the Proposed Development and must be read in conjunction with the construction details outlined in Chapter 5 (Construction) in this EIAR.

Details of mitigation measures proposed to address potential impacts arising from construction activities are described in Chapter 6 (Traffic & Transport) to Chapter 20 (Cumulative Impacts & Environmental Interactions), as appropriate, and are summarised in Chapter 21 (Summary of Mitigation & Monitoring Measures) of this EIAR.

19.5.2.2 Construction and Demolition Resource and Waste Management Plan

Construction and Demolition Resource and Waste Management is addressed in the CEMP, to demonstrate how waste arising during the Construction Phase (including demolition works) of the Proposed Development will be managed and disposed of in a way that ensures compliance with the provisions of the Waste Management Act, 1996, as amended. The Construction and Demolition Resource and Waste Management Plan (CDRWMP) will be developed by the appointed contractor.





19.5.2.3 Construction Traffic Management

The Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) has been prepared to demonstrate the manner in which the interface between the public and construction-related traffic will be managed and how vehicular movement will be controlled. It will be a condition of the Employer's Requirements that the successful contractor, immediately following appointment, must detail in the CTMP the manner in which it is intended to effectively implement all the applicable mitigation measures identified in this EIAR and any additional measures required pursuant to conditions imposed by An Bord Pleanála, should they grant approval. Further details on the assessment of construction traffic, and traffic related mitigation measures are provided in Chapter 6 (Traffic & Transport) of this EIAR.

19.5.2.4 Non-Native Invasive Species Management Non-Native

Invasive Species Management is addressed in the CEMP to provide the strategy that will be adopted during the construction of the Proposed Development in order to manage and prevent the spread of non-native invasive plant species. The Non-Native Invasive Species Management Plan will be developed by the appointed contractor using a suitably qualified ecologist as necessary. Non-native invasive plant species have been identified and documented within the Proposed Development boundary, as well as in close proximity to the Proposed Development boundary. The survey results have been provided in the CEMP, in addition to potential management options for the treatment of non-native species.

19.5.2.5 Surface Water Management

Surface Water Management is addressed in the CEMP, summarising the procedures and technical practices for implementing effective sediment, erosion and pollution control that will be adopted during the Construction Phase of the Proposed Development. The Surface Water Management Plan will be developed by the appointed contractor.

19.5.2.6 Environmental Incident Response

Environmental Incident Response is addressed in the CEMP, demonstrating how, in the unlikely event of an incident, response efforts will take place promptly, efficiently, and suitably for the particular circumstances. An Environmental Incident Response Plan will be developed by the appointed contractor. The management of the risk of major accidents and / or disasters occurring will continue throughout the planning, design and Construction Phase of the Proposed Development. The CEMP details procedures that could be undertaken in the event of a significant release of sediment into a watercourse, or a significant spillage of chemical, fuel or other hazardous substances (e.g. concrete), a non-compliance incident with any permit or licence, or other such risks that could lead to a major pollution incident, including flooding.

This assessment has considered the reasonable worst-case consequences, and as such, risks are unlikely to be greater than those that have been assessed within this EIAR. However, activities on-site will be monitored and controlled to ensure that risk does not increase over time.





Table 19-7 Major Accidents and / or Disasters – Assessment of Mitigation Measures

Risk ID	Event	Pre- Mitigation Risk Score	Mitigation Measures (Including Confirmatory Studies)	Post- Mitigation Likelihood	Post- Mitigation Consequence of Impact	Post- Mitigation Risk Score
Construe	ction Phase				·	
A	Utilities – Risk of gas explosion due to the strike of a gas mains during excavation works	Medium	Please refer to Chapter 5 (Construction), and to Appendix A5.1 CEMP in Volume 4 of this EIAR for full details on pre- construction preparations that will be carried out to ensure that all utilities are identified and recorded prior to construction works.	2 Very Unlikely	3 Serious	Low
Μ	Biosecurity - Risk of spread of invasive species during construction works, particularly during site clearance works	Medium	Please refer to Chapter 12 (Biodiversity), and Appendix A5.1 CEMP in Volume 4 of this EIAR for details on mitigation measures to be put in place, to prevent the spread of non-native invasive species.	2 Very Unlikely	3 Serious	Low
N	Contamination Event – Pollution event leading to environmental damage to watercourses or groundwater, particularly associated with the potential release of silt to the aquatic environment	Medium	Please refer to Chapter 13 (Water), Chapter 14 (Land, Soils, Geology & Hydrogeology), and Appendix A5.1 CEMP in Volume 4 of this EIAR for full details on design and mitigation measures to be put in place, to prevent contamination events	2 Very Unlikely	3 Serious	Low
Operatio	onal Phase					
N/A						





Table 19-8 Evaluation of Levels of Significance - Post Mitigation

		1 - Minor	2 - Limited	3 - Serious	4 – Very Serious	5 - Catastrophic
Likelihood	1 - Extremely Unlikely					
	2 - Very Unlikely			[A] [N] [M]		
	3 - Unlikely					
	4 - Likely					
	5 - Very Likely					

19.6 Residual Impacts

There are no identified incidents and / or major accidents and / or disasters risk events that present a sufficient combination of risk and consequence that would lead to significant residual environmental impacts.

No significant residual impacts have been identified either in the Construction or Operational Phases of the Proposed Development, whilst meeting the Proposed Development objectives set out in Chapter 1 (Introduction) of this EIAR.





19.7 References

CIRIA (2015). Environmental Good Practice on Site Guide, 4th Edition.

DECLG (2015). A Framework for Major Emergency Management. Guidance Document 10.

DEHLG (2010). A Framework for Major Emergency Management. A Guide to Risk Assessment in Major Emergency Management.

Department of Defence (2017). Strategic Emergency Management National Structures and Framework.

Department of the Taoiseach. A National Risk Assessment for Ireland 2023 – Overview of Strategic Risks.

EPA (2014). Guidance on Assessing and Costing Environmental Liabilities.

EPA (2022). Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports. European Commission (2017).

Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects – Guidance on the Preparation of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report.

GCC, Galway City Development Plan 2023 - 2029.

Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government (DECLG 2015)

GCC (2015) – Galway City Council Major Emergency Plan 2015

Government of Ireland (2020). A National Risk Assessment for Ireland 2020.

IEMA (2020). Major Accidents and Disasters in EIA: A Primer.

NRA (2007). Guidelines for the Creation, Implementation and Maintenance of an Environmental Operating Plan.

gov.ie - Department of the Taoiseach - National Risk Assessment 2023 outlining top strategic risks facing Ireland (<u>www.gov.ie</u>).

Directives and Legislation

Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on the control of major accident hazards involving dangerous substances, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directive 96/82/EU.

Directive 2014/52/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 amending Directive 2011/92/EU on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment.

Waste Management Act 1996, as amended.

Safety, Health, and Welfare at Work Act 2005, as amended.

S.I. No. 138/2012 - Building Regulations (Part A Amendment) Regulations 2012.

S.I. No. 209/2015 – Chemical Act (Control of Major Accident Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances) Regulations 2015.





S.I. No. 291/2013 - Safety, Health, and Welfare at Work (Construction) Regulations 2013.

S.I. No. 299/2007 - Safety, Health, and Welfare at Work (General Application) Regulations 2007, as amended.

